Tiered Rate Methodology Rate Case

# **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

May 2008

**OTHER RATE DESIGN:** Gustafson, Bliven, Hirsch, Thompson



TRM-12-E-BPA-07

This page intentionally left blank.

## INDEX

# TESTIMONY of

# GREG C. GUSTAFSON, RAYMOND D. BLIVEN, JON A. HIRSCH, and

## GARRY R. THOMPSON

## Witnesses for Bonneville Power Administration

# SUBJECT: OTHER RATE DESIGN

# Page

Section 1:	Introduc	ction and Purpose of Testimony	1
Section 2:	Low De	nsity Discount	2
Sect	tion 2.1:	Change in the Definition of "Consumers" in the C/M	
		Ratio	2
Sect	tion 2.2:	Adapting the LDD to Tiered Rates	4
Sect	tion 2.3:	Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers	5
~			
Section 3:	Irrigatio	n Rate Mitigation	6

This page intentionally left blank.

1			TESTIMONY of
2		GR	REG C. GUSTAFSON, RAYMOND D. BLIVEN, JON A. HIRSCH, and
3			GARRY R. THOMPSON
4			Witnesses for Bonneville Power Administration
5			
6	SUBJ	ECT:	OTHER RATE DESIGN
7	Sectio	n 1:	Introduction and Purpose of Testimony
8	Q.	Please	e state your names and qualifications.
9	A.	My na	me is Greg Gustafson, and my qualifications are contained in TRM-12-Q-
10		BPA-0	)7.
11	A.	My na	me is Raymond Bliven, and my qualifications are contained in TRM-12-Q-
12		BPA-0	)1.
13	A.	My na	me is Jon Hirsch, and my qualifications are contained in TRM-12-Q-BPA-08.
14	A.	My na	me is Garry Thompson, and my qualifications are contained in
15		TRM-	12-Q-BPA-17.
16	Q.	What i	is the purpose of your testimony?
17	A.	The pu	urpose of this testimony is to discuss the Low Density Discount and Irrigation Rate
18		Mitiga	tion portion of the Tiered Rate Methodology (TRM), TRM-12-E-BPA-01, sections
19		10.1 a	nd 10.2. This testimony makes use of defined terms in the TRM; see TRM pages
20		v-xvii.	
21	Q.	How is	s your testimony organized?
22	A.	Section	n 1 is this introduction. Section 2 describes the Low Density Discount. Section 3
23		descril	bes Irrigation Rate Mitigation.
24			

Î

TRM-12-E-BPA-07 Page 1 Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson

#### 1 Section 2: Low Density Discount 2 Q. What is the Low Density Discount? 3 A. In order to avoid adverse impacts on retail rates of BPA's customers with low system 4 densities, the Northwest Power Act directs BPA to apply a discount, to the extent appropriate, to BPA's rates for such customers. This discount is known as the Low 5 6 Density Discount (LDD). The LDD currently applies to the Priority Firm Power (PF) 7 Preference, PF Exchange, and New Resources rates. 8 Q. Are you proposing any changes to the LDD under tiered rates? 9 A. Yes. We are proposing to change the definition of "consumers" in the consumers per 10 mile (C/M) ratio; the formula for calculating the applicable LDD percentage to 11 accommodate tiered rates; and the method by which BPA determines LDD benefits for 12 qualifying Slice customers. 13 14 Section 2.1: Change in the Definition of "Consumers" in the C/M Ratio 15 Q. What is the current definition of consumers? 16 A. As currently defined in BPA's General Rate Schedule Provisions (GRSPs), for the LDD 17 C/M calculation "consumers" means the maximum number of consumers within the 18 distribution system in any one month during the calendar year. This includes every billed 19 consumer, regardless of usage. Separately billed services for water heating and security 20 lights are not counted as an additional billed consumer. 21 Q. What is the definition of consumers you are proposing? 22 A. As shown in TRM section 10.1.1, we propose the following definition of consumers: 23 Consumers means the number of consumers, by classification, having a current service connection in December of each year. Residential 24 25 consumers (seasonal and non-seasonal) should be counted on the basis of 26 the number of residences served. If one meter serves two residences, then 27 two consumers should be counted. If a water heater is metered separately **TRM-12-E-BPA-07**

Page 2 Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson

1 2		from other appliances on the same premises, the water heater load will not count as a separate consumer.
3 4		Security or safety lights, billed to a residential customer, will not be counted as an additional consumer.
5 6		Seasonal consumers expected to resume service during the next seasonal period will be counted during off-season periods as well.
7 8 9 10 11 12		A residence and commercial establishment on the same premises, receiving service through the same meter and being billed under the same rate schedule, would be classified as one consumer based on the rate schedule. If the same rate schedule applies to both the residential and the commercial class, the consumer should be classified according to the principle use.
13 14 15		Consumers for Public Street and Highway Lighting should be counted by the number of billings, regardless of the number of lights per billing.
16		This is the same as the definition of consumers used by the United States Department of
17		Agriculture's Rural Utilities Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Utilities
18		Service, Bulletin 1717B-2, p. 47-48.
19	Q.	Why are you proposing this change to the definition of consumers?
20	A.	Because the density of a customer's system is the basis for the LDD, a uniform and sound
21		basis for calculating density is essential. This change would ensure that the LDD is
22		provided only to BPA's customers with low system densities, promote equity among
23		such customers, and support efficient and effective administration of the LDD.
24		The current definition of "consumer" has been interpreted differently by different
25		customers. The current LDD reporting criteria and the resulting annual customer
26		reporting of what constitutes a "consumer" have caused confusion and inconsistency in
27		the determination of LDD benefits. Customers eligible for LDD benefits have been
28		reporting numbers of consumers differently based on, for example, the number of meters,
29		the number of consumers, or the number of members (for cooperatives). These variations
30		in data reporting can affect LDD eligibility and the discount level.

TRM-12-E-BPA-07

Page 3

Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson Because BPA is directed by statute to administer the LDD, we believe the proposed change in the definition of consumers more accurately reflects a utility's density; provides a uniform basis for calculating the C/M ratio; ensures greater equity among customers; and provides eligible customers a clear, understandable, and verifiable reporting standard. Additionally, the proposed change would create administrative efficiencies in BPA's implementation of the LDD.

### Section 2.2: Adapting the LDD to Tiered Rates

*Q.* Would the LDD need to be modified to accommodate tiered rates?

A. Yes. We believe that the level of a customer's LDD benefits should not be affected by
the customer's choice between purchasing BPA power sold at a Tier 2 Rate(s) or
applying power from Non-Federal Resources. To accomplish this goal and still provide
an equivalent amount of LDD benefit as would have been provided in the absence of
tiered rates, we are proposing certain modifications to the LDD.

*Q. Please describe your proposed modifications.* 

A. Instead of continuing the current practice of basing the discount on PF purchases, we
 propose to base the discount on a customer's Total Retail Load, minus any Existing
 Resources listed in its Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010. The discount
 amounts listed in the LDD percentage table in the GRSPs would serve as the basis for an
 annual adjustment, if warranted, to reflect an increase or decrease in a customer's Total
 Retail Load.

For example, a customer may receive an LDD of 5 percent and have a Rate Period High Water Mark (RHWM) of 10 aMW. If that customer's Total Retail Load increases to 11 aMW (a 10 percent increase over its RHWM), then the customer would have its LDD percentage adjusted upward to 5.5 percent (a corresponding 10 percent increase). For affected customers, the 7 percent cap would be adjusted upward by the same amount TRM-12-E-BPA-07

Page 4

Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson

1		as the LDD percentage. All other remaining existing criteria to qualify for the LDD
2		would be retained.
3	Q.	How would these modifications be applied?
4	А.	The modifications resulting in the updated LDD percentage would be applied to all firm
5		power purchased at Tier 1 Rates (Customer Charge, Load Shaping Charge, and Demand
6		Charge) of the customer receiving the LDD. These costs will be allocated to the
7		Composite Cost Pool and only to the PF rate pool.
8	Q.	Would the LDD apply to the amount of customer load served with power purchased at
9		Tier 2 Rates?
10	А.	No. In order to allow a level playing field in choices between BPA service and self-
11		supply, the LDD would not be applied to the amount of customer load served with power
12		purchased at Tier 2 Rates.
13		
14	Sectio	on 2.3: Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers
14 15	Sectio Q.	on 2.3: Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?
14 15 16	Sectio Q. A.	<ul> <li><b>an 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers</li> <li><i>How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?</i></li> <li>We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit.</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17	Sectio Q. A.	<b>In 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers? We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit. BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus
14 15 16 17 18	Section Q. A.	<ul> <li><b>bn 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers</li> <li><i>How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?</i></li> <li>We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit.</li> <li>BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in</li> </ul>
14 15 16 17 18 19	Sectio Q. A.	<b>An 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers? We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit. BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sectio Q. A.	<b>An 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers? We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit. BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing Determinants as though the customer was a Load Following customer. Then BPA
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Section Q. A.	<b>An 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers? We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit. BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing Determinants as though the customer was a Load Following customer. Then BPA would multiply these estimated PF Billing Determinants by the appropriate Tier 1 Rates.
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	Sectio	<ul> <li><b>A 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers</li> <li><i>How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?</i></li> <li>We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit.</li> <li>BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing Determinants as though the customer was a Load Following customer. Then BPA would multiply these estimated PF Billing Determinants by the appropriate Tier 1 Rates. The sum of these products then would be multiplied by the Total Retail Load-adjusted</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	Sectio	<b>n 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers <i>How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?</i> We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit. BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing Determinants as though the customer was a Load Following customer. Then BPA would multiply these estimated PF Billing Determinants by the appropriate Tier 1 Rates. The sum of these products then would be multiplied by the Total Retail Load-adjusted LDD percentage to derive the annual LDD benefit. This benefit would be divided into
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	Section Q. A.	<b>n 2.3:</b> Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers <i>How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?</i> We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit. BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing Determinants as though the customer was a Load Following customer. Then BPA would multiply these estimated PF Billing Determinants by the appropriate Tier 1 Rates. The sum of these products then would be multiplied by the Total Retail Load-adjusted LDD percentage to derive the annual LDD benefit. This benefit would be divided into 12 equal monthly amounts.
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	Q. A.	<ul> <li>A.3: Calculation of the LDD for Slice Customers</li> <li>How are you proposing to calculate LDD benefits for qualifying Slice customers?</li> <li>We propose to combine the LDD benefits for a Slice/Block customer into a single credit.</li> <li>BPA would use the customer's previous Fiscal Year's metered PF-eligible load, minus any Existing Resources listed in the customer's Subscription Contract applied to load in FY 2010, and minus the customer's above-RHWM load, to estimate PF Tier 1 Billing</li> <li>Determinants as though the customer was a Load Following customer. Then BPA</li> <li>would multiply these estimated PF Billing Determinants by the appropriate Tier 1 Rates.</li> <li>The sum of these products then would be multiplied by the Total Retail Load-adjusted</li> <li>LDD percentage to derive the annual LDD benefit. This benefit would be divided into 12 equal monthly amounts.</li> <li>Why are you proposing this change?</li> </ul>

> TRM-12-E-BPA-07 Page 5 Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson

A. The previous method for calculating the LDD to apply to the Slice portion of a customer's PF purchase was complicated and time-consuming. At the suggestion of some customers and in the interest of administrative efficiency, we are proposing this change.

#### Section 3: Irrigation Rate Mitigation

#### *Q.* What is Irrigation Rate Mitigation?

A. Irrigation Rate Mitigation (IRM) is a proposed discount to BPA's wholesale power rate for eligible irrigation load served by a customer. The discount would be a fixed percentage discount to the Tier 1 Rate. The fixed percentage would be the effective reduction in the melded, weighted average of the spring and summer energy rates due to the Irrigation Rate Mitigation Product (IRMP) in the average FY 2007-2009 PF energy rates. This discount would be seasonally available to eligible loads during May, June, July, August and September.

*Q.* Why would BPA offer Irrigation Rate Mitigation?

A. Reclamation of lands through irrigation for the agricultural industry is one of the primary
historical reasons for constructing Federal dams in the Pacific Northwest, along
with flood control, navigation, recreation, and power production. Historically BPA has
provided rate discounts to customers that serve agricultural loads. This has encouraged
the cultivation and irrigation of land in the Pacific Northwest that was otherwise barren
and nonproductive. The discounts have provided direct benefits to farmers, and because
agriculture is the dominant—if not the sole—economic driver in many rural Northwest
communities, indirect benefits to supporting industries such as irrigation equipment sales,
fertilizer companies, food processors, and trucking. Irrigation and associated energy use
are most intensive over a 5-6 month time frame in the Pacific Northwest. Making this
discount available would support BPA's statutory objective to encourage the widest

TRM-12-E-BPA-07 Page 6

Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson

possible diversified use of electric energy while avoiding adverse rate impacts on any one consumer class.

# *Q. How would BPA apply the IRM?*

4 We expect CHWM Contracts to include a provision acknowledging the IRM as a rate 4. 5 adjustment that would be determined in rate proceedings and subject to BPA's GRSPs. 6 The amounts of a customer's eligible irrigation loads would be specified in CHWM 7 Contracts. BPA would determine the eligible customer-served irrigation load for the 8 IRM twice: 1) at contract execution for those customers who have received BPA's 9 currently effective IRMP in calendar year 2008 or the Summer Seasonal Product; and 10 2) 90 days after the issuance of the TRM Final Record of Decision. If a New Public 11 requests the IRM for its eligible irrigation load, BPA would make a load determination 12 and any needed contract amendments to reflect eligible kilowatthour amounts. 13 Q. How would BPA determine the eligibility of a customer's irrigation load for the IRM? 14 A. To qualify for the IRM discount, a customer serving irrigation load would need to meet 15 one of the following criteria: 16 a) participated in BPA's FY 1997-2001 Summer Seasonal Product; 17 participated in BPA's FY 2007-2011 Irrigation Rate Mitigation Product; or b) 18 c) had irrigation rate schedule sales, May through September in FY 2002-2004, divided 19 by the customer's Total Retail Load for FY 2002-2004, of at least 5 percent; or if less

than 5 percent, the average megawatthour use for May through September in FY 2002-2004 (15 months in 3 years) is 7,500 MWh or more. In addition, at least 75 percent of a customer's Total Retail Load must be placed on BPA as of October 1, 2011.

24

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

*Q.* Are there any other aspects of IRM that are significant for the TRM?

## TRM-12-E-BPA-07 Page 7 Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson

 A. Yes. For a Slice/Block customer, the rate adjustment would be applied to the lesser of the customer's monthly block purchased at Tier 1 Rates or the qualifying irrigation kilowatthours specified in its contract.

Additionally, for all customers receiving the IRM, there would be a true-up process at the end of the irrigation season to ensure the full amount of irrigation load was equal to or greater than the load eligible for mitigation. If a customer's May to September measured irrigation load is less than the amount of load eligible for mitigation, a true-up would be owed to BPA at end of the irrigation season. The details and requirements of the true-up would be developed in the relevant rate cases and included in the GRSPs for each applicable Rate Period.

Finally, BPA would require customers participating in the IRM to implement cost-effective conservation measures on eligible irrigation systems in its service territories as described in the GRSPs.

*Q. Does this conclude your testimony?* 

15 A.

Yes.

17

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

TRM-12-E-BPA-07 Page 8 Witnesses: Greg C. Gustafson, Raymond D. Bliven, Jon A. Hirsch, and Garry R. Thompson